

NSBA shares the Department's concepton prot 0 Td [m0 Td in

AL ST §161-52.

These state statutes all requitient students' participation teams designated male or femalebebased on "biological sever sex identified close to birthor Fexample, Alabama's statute says:

In Indiana, for example, the statute allows a student "deprived of an athletic opportunity" or "otherwise directly or indirectly injured" by a school district's, school's, or association's violation of the lawto bring a civil action against the gainst the school district, school, or association against the school district, school, or association against the statute specifies the type of reduced entry seek if they are directly or indirectly harmed by reallegedviolation of the statute"injunctive, mandamus, damages, and declaratory relief against the entity A ST § 26112. See also MT ST 207-1307 (specifying that a studewish suffers direct or indirect harm, or is retaliated again, may sue for "injunited veloced entry other relief available under law against the schöpl

Despite the limited protections for schools in the statutes, school districts have been sued in federal courts by individual students challengeuchstatute's application to them¹⁰

Because the proposed rule wouldflict directly with these state laws, school districts in such states will have to analyzed possibly relevelop their policies in consultation with their attorneys keeping in mind the potentiability theyface for violations of their state laws be Department estimates that an initial review to determine the regulation applies will take an education administrator approximately half an hour to complete so estimates that about 60 percent of states, one education administrator locar a Education Agendy (A) would spend four hourson policy revisions while amanagement analyst would spend twenty hands an attorney twelve hours 88 Fed. Reg. 22886. The Department speculates that to develop training on the new policies would be spent by state athletic associations.

NSBA urges the Department to estimate and account for more time to be speAt by administrative staff and attorneys in developing and conducting training for spreaffally n states that now ban student participation in extracurricular athletics based on gender identify pol personnel will need more time to consult with their school attorneys, engage their communities through meetings and input periods, dratiporsed policies with comment periods, finalize policies, and train staff. In sometates, this process will happe228)Tj (86)Tj -0.003 Tc 0.003 Tw 4 ()-9.9 teams and whether particular recipients will be revising their policDeuring the delayed implementation period, we kat the Department gather data that will inform its enforcement and assist school districts considering policy changes based on the file SDA less concerned that without a period of condered policy development, schowils be forced to adoptolicies without sufficient time to consult with their communities and state agencies, creating even more vulnerability to litigation. School districts in statewith conflicting statutes are subject to the very real risk of drawing claims including litigation if they implement the federal rule without sufficient time to inform and consult with these statements

II. Alternative Approaches to Achieve Equal Athletic Opportunity Regardless offex in the Recipient's Achieve Program as a Wole

Because the propends rule retains the longstanding language regarding "boys" and "girls" teams, it appears to limit the scope of its covdtaigenot clear whether the xt of the proposed rule applesonly when a schoolesignates teams "boys" and "girls" Some schools operate, and some are considering, end teams! Many state statutes refer to to the because as wellSBA asks that the Department clarify how the rule will apply sichooloffers coed teams or designeds lots for boys and girls on such teams

NSBA also asks that the Department clarify the rule's application in situations where nonbinarystudents wish to participate in athleticsmost sports most levelshere will not be a team that corresponds the a nonbinary student's ender identity. The Department notes that schools may need to determine whether estated criteria when applied o nonbinary students, limit or deny the student's eligibility to participate on a male or female team consistent with their gender identity. If a school answe that question in the negative, does that mean that the student may be required to join a team based biological sex in that case eask that the Department clarify how schools can address participation in such situations through policy.

III. Safety and airness asl/mportant" Educational hterests

The proposed rule would require any criteria thratild limit or deny a student sigibility to participate on a male or female team consistent with their gender identity torit trait substantially related the achievement of an important education by the crive for "each sport, level of competition, and grade or education level is language to the null to the intermediate scrutiny standard applied by courts to best classifications challenge deuted not introduce of equal protection. Although this language is familiar to attoer sourts, its use in a regulation creates implementation challenges for school districts

First, the legal meaning of substantially related/important edurcal interest may be difficult to discernand challenging o apply The intermediate scrutiny standard is less defined through case law than the higher "strict scrutiny" or lower "rational basis" standards applicable in other contextsCourts havenot interpreted "substantially related consistently or clearly the

¹¹ See Brooks v. State College Area Schools District, ___ F.Supp.3d ___, 2022 WL 17366397 (M.D. Penn. 2022) (n a case where finale players alleed aschool district committed a Title IX violation when it failed to provide effective accommodation to female athletes by rostering a second district be schoolice hockey team the court found, "Merely allowing female athlete show up for ord tryouts is not enough to satisfy Title" IX nd granted preliminary injunction).

states "Having separate separate teams of the set of th

V. AssoluciatioAns

Many school districts do not set their own rules on athletic eligibeiggarding

latter secondary educational avenues for students participating in geveloped policies and procedures related to determining el for transgender students.

¹² At the same time, not all athletic associations will be considered recipients of federal funds.

A school that follows a federal requirement in conflict with those of an athle conducted and provide the second se

portunity to transition to new th federal requ**bet**ments hletic

ool

rd

sis of

n nimis

nay be

eir harms

¹² See, e.g., Michigan High School Athletic Associați di gibility of Transgender Student-Athletes, <u>https://cdn.factcheck.org/UploadedFiles/TransgenderPolicy.pdf</u>

Given the Department's explarent if the "harm" component of the proposed rule appears to be all inclusive and require degree of forecastiling any application of sebased eligibility criteria that limits or denies participation ill be deemed to be harmful, how will a school be able to show it chose a less harmful alternative? the Department consider emotional harm? If so, how does the Department suggest that schools anticipated weigh emotional harm